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Dear Team Hawke

As a team we are writing to you in response to your second presentation of the website for the meditation centre that you’re developing for us, which was conducted on the 12th of October. This formal letter is intended to supply you with feedback regarding your current progress and potentially help you to develop the website in the future. This letter is independent of the first letter regarding the first presentation and excludes information expressed to us outside of the second presentation.

**Preparedness**

Your team seemed as prepared as any team is expected for an informal presentation. All team members were present and alert. The website that you are developing for us was able to be displayed with only a minor hitch - internet connection. During navigation the internet failed to load a page. Under normal circumstances this would be indicative of either faulty programming or a bad internet connection, though it was neither. Due to the nature of the internet service at your location, it was quickly fixed by reloading the page.

**Expectations**

As the clients of the meditation centre, we agreed to general expectations to be met for the current sprint. Your team delivered on what was agreed for the current sprint as well as being able to make changes that we recommended in the last presentation. In terms of the acceptance criteria, all of the stories passed. We found the implementation of allowing the manager to blacklist email addresses instead of just usernames really handy, for the same reason you specified in the presentation, which was preventing troublesome users from signing back up with a different username.

**Technical**

We agree with your decision on the tier of system architecture you’ve chosen for developing the website for our meditation centre. It didn’t really cross our minds if we wanted a one, two, or three tier architecture at the time of envisioning website, and after hearing your rational at the presentation we have no quarrels with it. As mentioned above in Preparedness, the only difficulties with the presentation was a page not loading initially. It doesn’t really count as a technical difficulty as the issue was not with the website that you produced, rather the internet at your location. We were happy to hear that back-end testing was done and fixed up this time around.

**Suggestion for Improvements**

With the website that the developing team has presented the material that was shown was well constructed and well thought out, the website contained a majority of the story points that were agreed on and was visually appealing. This is an improvement from the last review as this was an area that was lacking during the last presentation. The next improvement’s were spoken verbally at the end of the presentation. This consisted of adjusting a few visual parts of the website and finalising a story point. The overall progress is coming along nicely, which makes it difficult to suggest improvements.

**Professionalism of the Presentation**

During the presentation we found that the presenting members were clear and concise in speaking with regards to the planned changes made to the website in the current sprint. The presentation itself seems to of had some prior thought, and so it was performed quite confidently with no major errors. All though the presentation was good, it could have been slightly improved if some more members were paying more attention and seeming involved in what was going on.

**Conclusion**

Overall we were pleased with the effort your team has put into development and presentation preparation. All your works come with no technical errors and you have made great improvement by gaining experience from our last review. We are appreciate all you have done and looking forward to see more of your work.

Kind regards,

Team 85 Fluffies